CSCI-1680

**Congestion Control Mechanics** 

Nick DeMarinis

Based partly on lecture notes by Rodrigo Fonseca, David Mazières, Phil Levis, John Jannotti

## Administrivia

- TCP Milestone I: Sign up for a meeting this week, if you haven't already!
- TCP gearup II TONIGHT (11/2) 5-7pm in CIT68 (+Zoom, +Recorded)
  - Any questions you have
  - Stuff for milestone II
  - How to test
- HW3: Out now, due next Wed => practice for milestone II



## Warmup

Which of the following contribute to <u>congestion</u>:
a. Packets queueing up at switches
b. High CPU usage on the receiver 
FLOW
C. Many TCP connections on the same link
d. Many UDP connections on the same link
e. Poor wifi connection on the sender

NO CONTINU PROBLEMS NOT NOT NETWORK CON GESTION Flow control: making sure we don't overwhelm the <u>receiver</u> <u>ADUMNESS</u> whom <u>C</u> WW

Congestion control: making sure we don't overwhelm the <u>network</u>

#### Thinking about congestion



"BBR congestion control"



<u>Bandwidth-delay product (BDP)</u>: maximum amount of data that can be in-transit on a network link at any given time

```
(Link capacity (bits/sec)) * (RTT (sec))
= (bytes)
```



<u>Bandwidth-delay product (BDP)</u>: maximum amount of data that can be in-transit on a network link at any given time



<u>Bandwidth-delay product (BDP)</u>: maximum amount of data that can be in-transit on a network link at any given time

```
(Link capacity (bits/sec)) * (RTT (sec))
= (bytes)
Eg. 1Gbps link * 1ms RTT = 125KiB BDP
```



<u>Bandwidth-delay product (BDP)</u>: maximum amount of data that can be in-transit on a network link at any given time

```
(Link capacity (bits/sec)) * (RTT (sec))
= (bytes)
Eg. 1Gbps link * 1ms RTT = 125KiB BDP
=> After exceeding BDP, network is queueing packets. After queues are full, packets
getting dropped due to congestion.
```



<u>Bandwidth-delay product (BDP)</u>: maximum amount of data that can be in-transit on a network link at any given time

```
(Link capacity (bits/sec)) * (RTT (sec))
= (bytes)
Eg. 1Gbps link * 1ms RTT = 125KiB BDP
```

=> After exceeding BDP, network is queueing packets. After queues are full, packets getting dropped due to congestion.

## Why is this hard?

Sender <u>doesn't know</u> the network capacity

– The network can't (generally) tell us this

... and the network may change

- New connections start up
- Connections end
- Link characteristics may change...

- NEED JO DO A START - CONTINUAUY WHILE SENDING.

## Why is this hard?

#### Sender doesn't know the network capacity

– The network can't (generally) tell us this

... and the network may change

- New connections start up
- Connections end
- Link characteristics may change...

=> Need to <u>measure</u> or <u>model</u> what is going on in the network as we are sending, adapt accordingly

#### The basic principle



#### Lots of CC variants designed with different strategies and goals

**Network Signals** 

- •
- Delay/RTT ("delay-based") ٠
- "Marks" added on packets by routers

#### Goals

- Maximize throughput •
- Recover from packet loss or high RTT ٠
- Short-long "flows" •
- Datacenter-specific (low-latency) ٠

#### Lots of CC variants designed with different strategies and goals

#### Network Signals

- Packet loss ("loss-based")
- Delay/RTT ("delay-based")
- "Marks" added on packets by routers

#### <u>Goals</u>

- Maximize throughput
- Recover from packet loss or high RTT
- Short-long "flows"
- Datacenter-specific (low-latency)

 $\Rightarrow$ 

This is a big research area!





Variant 🗢	Feedback +	Required changes +	Benefits +	Fairness <del>\$</del>
(New) Reno	Loss	2		Delay
Vegas	Delay	Sender	Less loss	Proportional
High Speed	Loss	Sender	High bandwidth	
BIC	Loss	Sender	High bandwidth	
CUBIC	Loss	Sender	High bandwidth	
C2TCP <sup>[11][12]</sup> >	Loss/Delay	Sender Ultra-low latency and high bandwidth		
NATCP <sup>[13]</sup>	Multi-bit signal	Sender Near Optimal Performance		
Elastic-TCP	Loss/Delay	Sender High bandwidth/short & long-distance		
Agile-TCP	Loss	Sender High bandwidth/short-distance		
H-TCP	Loss	Sender	High bandwidth	
FAST	Delay	Sender	High bandwidth	Proportional
Compound TCP	Loss/Delay	Sender	High bandwidth	Proportional
Westwood	Loss/Delay	Sender	Lossy links	
Jersey	Loss/Delay	Sender	Lossy links	
BBR <sup>[14]</sup>	Delay	Sender	BLVC, Bufferbloat	
CLAMP •	Multi-bit signal	Receiver, Router	Variable-rate links	Max-min
TFRC	Loss	Sender, Receiver	No Retransmission	Minimum delay
XCP	Multi-bit signal	Sender, Receiver, Router	BLFC	Max-min
VCP	2-bit signal	Sender, Receiver, Router	BLF	Proportional
MaxNet )	Multi-bit signal	Sender, Receiver, Router	BLFSC	Max-min
JetMax	Multi-bit signal	Sender, Receiver, Router	High bandwidth	Max-min
RED	Loss	Router	Reduced delay	
ECN	Single-bit signal	Sender, Receiver, Router	Reduced loss	

Wikipedia's list

## Congestion control has a long history

• Active research area for ~40 years

• I am <u>nowhere close</u> to being an expert

• My hope is to get you to understand the problems involved

## **Classical Congestion Control**

Loss-based: assume packet loss => congestion

- TCP Tahoe (1988)
  - Slow start, congestion avoidance, fast retransmit
- TCP Reno (1990)
  - TCP Tahoe + Fast recovery

• Many variations developed from this... (see optional readings)



## Modes of operation

- Slow start (SS)
  - Determine initial window, recover after loss
- Congestion avoidance (CA)
  - Steady state, slowly probe for changes in capacity

### **Congestion Avoidance**

After finishing a window, recompute cwnd:

- If no losses, cwnd = cwnd + MSS
  - (Often written as cwnd += 1)
- If packets were lost: cwnd = cwnd/2

This is called additive increase, multiplicative decrease (AIMD)

- Slowly increase capacity
- Dramatically scale back on loss

#### AIMD Example

DEAL CAPACITY cwnd >> ALWAYS SOME PACKET LOSS ALWAYS (HANGING. BUFFERS NEVARIT ALWAYS FUL TCP Saytooth, red curve represents the network capacity



 Turns out AIMD is really slow to start up. So do something faster at connection

 start...

 ////i/i

 ////i/i

## Slow Start

Turns out AIMD is really slow to start up. So do something faster at connection start...

After finishing a window

- cwnd = cwnd \* 2
- Continue doing this until you experience a loss
- After first loss, keep slow-start threshold (ssthresh):
  - If window < ssthresh: slow-start</p>
  - If window > ssthresh: congestion avoidance
- After first loss: ssthresh = cwnd / 2



### How to Detect Loss

- · Timeout => REAM/ LONG ATT
- Any other way?
  - Gap in sequence numbers at receiver
  - Receiver uses cumulative ACKs: drops => duplicate ACKs



#### How to Detect Loss

- Timeout
- Any other way?
  - Gap in sequence numbers at receiver
  - Receiver uses cumulative ACKs: drops => duplicate ACKs
- 3 Duplicate ACKs considered loss

#### How to Detect Loss

- Timeout
- Any other way?
  - Gap in sequence numbers at receiver
  - Receiver uses cumulative ACKs: drops => duplicate ACKs
- 3 Duplicate ACKs considered loss

• Which one is worse?



## Slow start every time?!

- Losses have large effect on throughput
- Fast Recovery (TCP Reno)
  - Same as TCP Tahoe on Timeout: w = 1, slow start
  - On triple duplicate ACKs: w = w/2
  - Retransmit missing segment (fast retransmit)
  - Stay in Congestion Avoidance mode
- Why 3 dup-acks instead of just 1?

## This is just the beginning...

Lots of congestion control schemes, with different strategies/goals:

- Tahoe (1988)
- Reno (1990)
- Vegas (1994): Detect based on RTT
- New Reno: Better recovery multiple losses
- Cubic (2006): Linux default, window size scales by cubic function
- BBR (2016): Used by Google, measures bandwidth/RTT

LOSS-DASED CC

WIN, MACOS.

#### BBR: what's different



Not based just based on packet loss

Tries to measure both RTT and link capacity
 Normal phase swaps between measuring link capacity (sending more)
 vs. measuring RTT (sends less, looks for RTT to go down)
 Uses both of these things to figure out a sending rate

#### BBR

Problem: can't measure both RTT<sub>prop</sub> and Bottleneck BW at the same time

BBR:

- Slow start
- Measure throughput when RTT starts to increase
- Measure RTT when throughput is still increasing
- Pace packets at the BDP
- Probe by sending faster for 1RTT, then slower to compensate

BBR (2016)



From: https://labs.ripe.net/Members/gih/bbr-tcp

# Another way: ECN EXTENNAL CONGOSTION

ONLY WORKS IF RONTERS ( OOPENATE ( NOT ON INTERNET)

٦

What if we didn't have to drop packets?

• Routers/switches set bits in packet to indicate congestion

- When sender sees congestion bit, scales back cwnd
- Must be supported by both sender and receiver

=>Avoids retransmissions optionally dropped packets

# Special purpose example: DCTCP (2010)

=>IN DATACENTER, PROVIDER CAN CONTROL WHOLE STACK

(SERVERS, OS, SWITCHES, ROUTERS, APPS...)

IN DATACENTER < 200ms RTT

Designed for datacenter usage <u>only</u>

- Want to avoid queuing as much as possible
- Routers/switches mark packets with E<u>CN bit in header</u>
- When this happens, senders scale back dramatically

What happens in practice now?

# THE EVOLUTION OF THE TCP ECOSYSTEM



#### "The Great Internet TCP congestion control census" (2019)

# DISTRIBUTION BY POPULARITY AND TRAFFIC SHARE



Share of congestion control algorithms deployed by website count in the Alexa Top 250 websites

- Among the top 250 Alexa websites, BBR has a larger share by website count than Cubic
- In terms of traffic share, BBR is now contributing to more than 40% of the downstream traffic on the Internet!

Site	Downstream traffic share	Variant
Amazon Prime	3.69%	CUBIC
Netflix	15%	CUBIC
YouTube	11.35%	BBR
Other Google sites	28%	BBR
Steam downloads	2.84%	BBR

(As measured on static HTTP webpages)

AYUSH MISHRA, IETF 109, 20TH NOV 2020

#### "The Great Internet TCP congestion control census" (2019)

# LOOKING CLOSER AT THE UNCLASSIFIED VARIANTS

We had a total of 6,330 (31.65%) of websites that were unclassified

We ran a variety of network profiles on these websites to infer something about their congestion control mechanism

Туре	React to Packet Loss?	React to BDP?	Websites (share)
AkamaiCC	×	1	1,103 (5.52%)
Unknown Akamai	×	?	157 (0.79%)
Unimerum	×	?	493 (2.47%)
Unknown	1	?	1,782 (8.91%)
Short flows	1	?	1,493 (7.47%)
Unresponsive	?	?	1,302 (6.51%)
Total			6,330 (31.65%)

#### "The Great Internet TCP congestion control census" (2019)